	Application No	•	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/040,884	040,884 GHILDYAL ET A		L.
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Prema M Mertz		1646	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Prema M. Mertz (Primary Examiner).	(3)			
(2) Robin S. Quartin (Attorney).	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>16 June 2004</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's	representative	]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 22-25.				
Identification of prior art discussed: none.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊡ was not rea	ched. h)∭ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: It was indicated to Attoriclaim 24 would obviate a 35 USC 112, first para, scope reablement for in vivo transfections as recited on page 24 the claims. Attorney would submit a supplemental amend.	ney Quartin that rejection, because 4 of the specificat	the recitation of the specification ion and as end	f "a host cell in c on did not provid	<u>culture" in</u> l <u>e</u>
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amer			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to th GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	ne last Office action R THE MAILING I FOF THE SUBST	on has already DATE OF THIS ANCE OF TH	been filed, APPI S INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY
		Prema 1	Vent	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	_		ature, if required	